arXiv:1410.4369v2 [math.CV] 5 Dec 2015

THE GROWTH AND DISTORTION THEOREMS FOR SLICE MONOGENIC FUNCTIONS

GUANGBIN REN AND XIEPING WANG

Abstract. The sharp growth and distortion theorems are established for the slice monogenic extensions of univalent holomorphic functions on the unit disc $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ in the setting of Clifford algebras, based on a new convex combination identity. The analogous results are also valid in the quaternionic setting for slice regular functions and we can even prove the Koebe type one-quarter theorem in this case. It turns out that the geometric function theory for the slice monogenic extension to higher dimensions of a univalent holomorphic function holds without extra geometric assumptions, in contrast to the setting of several complex variables in which the growth and distortion theorems fail in general, and hold only for some subclasses with the starlike or convex assumption.

1. Introduction

In geometric function theory of holomorphic functions of one complex variable, the following well-known growth and distortion theorems (cf. [8]) remark the beginning of the systematic theory of univalent functions.

Theorem 1.1 (Growth and Distortion Theorems)**.** *Let F be a univalent function on $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ such that $F(0) = 0$ and $F'(0) = 1$. Then for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$\frac{|z|}{(1+|z|)^2} \leq |F(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^2}; \tag{1.1}$$

$$\frac{1-|z|}{(1+|z|)^3} \leq |F'(z)| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{(1-|z|)^3}; \tag{1.2}$$

$$\frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} \leq \left|\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}. \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover, equality holds for one of these six inequalities at some point $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if F is a rotation of the Koebe function, i.e.

$$F(z) = \frac{z}{(1-e^{i\theta}z)^2}\ , \qquad \forall\ z \in \mathbb{D},$$

for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

The extension of geometric function theory to higher dimensions was suggested by H. Cartan [3] in 1933. But, the first meaningful result was only made in 1991 by Barnard, Fitzgerald and Gong [2]. Since then, the geometric function theory

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30G35, 32A26.

Key words and phrases. Slice Regular Functions, Growth and Distortion Theorems.

This work was supported by the NNSF of China (11071230), RFDP (20123402110068).

in several complex variables has been extensively studied, see [15, 16] and the references therein. In particular, the growth theorem holds for starlike mappings on starlike circular domains [18], and for convex mappings on convex circular domains [19].

However, nearly nothing has been done about the corresponding theory for other function classes, perhaps due to the failure of closeness under multiplication or composition.

The purpose of this article is to generalize the geometric function theory to the noncommutative setting for slice regular and slice monogenic functions. The theory of slice regular functions of one quaternionic variable was initiated recently by Gentili and Struppa [11, 12]. Subsequently, the notion of slice regularity was also extended to functions of an octonionic variable [13] and to the setting of Clifford algebras [5, 6] for slice monogenic functions on domains in paravector space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with values in Clifford algebra $\mathbb{R}_n$. More recently, Ghiloni and Perotti [14] have made a significant step forward, defining slice regular functions with values in any real alternative algebra defined on open sets in the so-called quadratic cone of the algebra and endowing all the aforementioned theories with a new working tool based on a slight modification of a well-known Fueter's construction. Now the detailed up-to-date theory appears in the monographs [10, 7]. It is also well worth mentioning that the theory of slice regular (monogenic) functions is significantly different from that of regular functions in the sense of Cauchy-Fueter and has elegant applications to the functional calculus for noncommutative operators [7], Schur analysis [1] and the construction and classification of orthogonal complex structures on dense open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{H}$ [9].

We will establish the growth and distortion theorems for slice regular and slice monogenic extensions of univalent holomorphic functions on the unit disc of the complex plane in the settings of quaternions and Clifford algebras. The classical approach can not be applied in these new cases, since there lacks a fruitful theory of compositions for slice regular and slice monogenic functions. We shall reduce the problem to the classical one via a new convex combination identity:

$$\big|f(x+yJ)\big|^2 = \frac{1+\langle I,J\rangle}{2}\big|f(x+yI)\big|^2 + \frac{1-\langle I,J\rangle}{2}\big|f(x-yI)\big|^2$$

for any slice regular or slice monogenic function f on a symmetric slice domain U such that $f(U_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$.

We remark that in contrast to the setting of several complex variables in which the growth and distortion theorems fail to hold in general [3] and can only be restricted to the starlike or convex subclasses, our results on the slice regular and slice monogenic extensions of univalent holomorphic functions hold without extra geometric assumptions. We now state our main result for the case of Clifford algebra $\mathbb{R}_n$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $F : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a normalized univalent holomorphic function on the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$, and let $f : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{R}_n$ be the slice monogenic extension of F. Then for each $x \in \mathbb{B}$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$\frac{|x|}{(1+|x|)^2} \le |f(x)| \le \frac{|x|}{(1-|x|)^2}; \tag{1.4}$$

$$\frac{1-|x|}{(1+|x|)^3} \le |f'(x)| \le \frac{1+|x|}{(1-|x|)^3}; \tag{1.5}$$

$$\frac{1-|x|}{1+|x|} \leq \left|xf'(x) * f^{-*}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1+|x|}{1-|x|}. \tag{1.6}$$

Moreover, equality holds for one of these six inequalities at some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{0\}$ *if and only if*

$$f(x) = x(1 - xe^{i\theta})^{-*2}, \qquad \forall\ x \in \mathbb{B}.$$

A similar result also holds in the setting of quaternions. As an application, we can prove a covering theorem, that is the so-called Koebe type one-quarter theorem (see Theorem 4.9 below), with the help of the open mapping theorem, which holds only for slice regular functions defined on symmetric slice domains in $\mathbb{H}$ with values in $\mathbb{H}$ rather than slice monogenic functions defined on symmetric slice domains in paravector space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with values in Clifford algebra $\mathbb{R}_n$.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we set up basic notations and give some preliminary results. In Section 3, we establish the growth and distortion theorems for slice monogenic functions in the setting of Clifford algebras. Section 4 is devoted to the analogous results and the Koebe type one-quarter theorem for slice regular functions in the quaternionic setting. Finally, Section 5 comes a concluding remark and an open question.

2. Preliminaries

We recall in this section some necessary definitions and preliminary results on real Clifford algebras and slice monogenic functions. To have a more complete insight, we refer the reader to the monograph [7].

The real Clifford algebra $\mathbb{R}_n = Cl_{0,n}$ is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ generated by n basis elements $e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n$, subject to the relations

$$e_i e_j + e_j e_i = -2\delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

As a real vector space $\mathbb{R}_n$ has dimension 2^n. Each element b in $\mathbb{R}_n$ can be represented uniquely as

$$b = \sum_A b_A e_A,$$

where $b_A \in \mathbb{R}$, $e_A := e_{h_1} e_{h_2} \cdots e_{h_r}$, $e_0 = 1$, and $A = h_1 \ldots h_r$ is a multi-index such that $1 \leq h_1 < \cdots < h_r \leq n$. The real number b_0 is called the *scalar* part of b and is denoted by $\mathrm{Sc}(b)$ as usual. The Clifford *conjugate* of each generator e_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, is defined to be $\bar{e}_i = -e_i$, and thus extends to each e_A by setting $\bar{e}_A := \bar{e}_{h_r} \bar{e}_{h_{r-1}} \cdots \bar{e}_{h_1} = (-1)^r e_{h_r} e_{h_{r-1}} \cdots e_{h_1} = (-1)^{r(r+1)/2} e_A$, and further extends by linearity to any element $b = \sum_A b_A e_A \in \mathbb{R}_n$ so that

$$\bar{b} = \sum_A b_A \bar{e}_A.$$

Therefore, the Clifford conjugate is an anti-automorphism of $\mathbb{R}_n$, i.e. $\overline{ab} = \bar{b}\bar{a}$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_n$. Moreover, the Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{R}_n \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2^n}$ is given by

$$\langle a, b \rangle := \mathrm{Sc}(a\bar{b}) = \sum_A a_A b_A$$

for any $a = \sum_A a_A e_A$, $b = \sum_A b_A e_A \in \mathbb{R}_n$, then it follows from the simple identity

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\big(|a+b|^2 - |a|^2 - |b|^2\big)$$

that

$$\langle a,b\rangle = \langle b,a\rangle = \langle \bar{a},\bar{b}\rangle = \langle \bar{b},\bar{a}\rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

It is worth remarking here that for $\mathbb{R}_n (n \geq 3)$ the multiplicative property of the Euclidean norm fails in general, and holds only for some special cases (see [7, Propostion 2.1.17] or [17, Theorem 3.14 (ii)]. In particular, it holds that

$$|ab| = |ba| = |a||b| \tag{2.2}$$

whenever one of a and b is a paravector (see below for this definition).

For convenience, some specific elements in $\mathbb{R}_n$ can be identified with vectors in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$: an element $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be identified with a so-called *1-vector* in the Clifford algebra through the map $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto \underline{x} = x_1e_1 + e_2x_2 + \ldots + x_ne_n$. The element $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ will be identified with $x = x_0 + \underline{x} = x_0 + x_1e_1 + \ldots + x_ne_n$, which is called a *paravector*. Now for any two 1-vectors x, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the Euclidean inner product becomes

$$\langle x,y\rangle = \mathrm{Sc}(x\bar{y}) = -\frac{1}{2}(xy + yx),$$

and consequently,

$$xy = -\langle x,y\rangle + x \wedge y,$$

where $x \wedge y := \frac{1}{2}(xy - yx)$ is the wedge product of x and y. Furthermore, under the identifications above, a vector x in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ can be taken as a Clifford number

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^{n} x_ie_i,$$

so that it has inverse

$$x^{-1} = \frac{\bar{x}}{|x|^2},$$

where $\bar{x}$ is the *conjugate* of x given by $\bar{x} = x_0e_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_ie_i$ and the norm of x is induced by the inner product given above, i.e.,$|x| = \langle x,x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For any $x = x_0 + x_1e_1 + \cdots + x_ne_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is composed by the *scalar* part $\mathrm{Sc}(x) = x_0$ and the *vector* part $\underline{x} = x_1e_1 + \cdots + x_ne_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ can be expressed as $x = u + Iv$, where x, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$I = \frac{\underline{x}}{|\underline{x}|}$$

if $\underline{x} \neq 0$, otherwise we take I arbitrarily such that $I^2 = -1$. Then I is an element of the unit $(n-1)$-sphere of unit 1-vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathbb{S} = \big\{\underline{x} = x_1e_1 + \cdots + x_ne_n \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1\big\}.$$

For every $I \in \mathbb{S}$ we will denote by $\mathbb{C}_I$ the plane $\mathbb{R} \oplus I\mathbb{R}$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, and, if $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, by U_I the intersection $U \cap \mathbb{C}_I$. Also, for $R > 0$, we will denote the open ball of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ centred at the origin with radius R by

$$B(0,R) = \big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x| < R\big\}.$$

We can now recall the definition of slice monogenicity.

Definition 2.1. Let U be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. A function $f : U \to \mathbb{R}_n$ is called *slice monogenic* if, for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$, its restriction f_I to U_I is *holomorphic*, i.e., it has continuous partial derivatives and satisfies

$$\bar{\partial}_I f(u+vI) := \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + I\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) f_I(u+vI) = 0$$

for all $u + vI \in U_I$.

The natural domains of definition in the theory of slice monogenic functions are symmetric slice domains.

Definition 2.2. Let U be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

1. U is called a *slice domain* if it intersects the real axis and if for each $I \in \mathbb{S}$, U_I is a domain in $\mathbb{C}_I$.
2. U is called an *axially symmetric domain* if for every point $u + vI \in U$, with $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and $I \in \mathbb{S}$, the entire sphere $u + v\mathbb{S}$ is contained in U.

A domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called a *symmetric slice domain* if it is not only a slice domain, but also an axially symmetric domain. By the very definition, an open ball $B(0,R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x| < R\}$ is a typical symmetric slice domain. From now on, we will focus mainly on slice monogenic functions on $B(0,R)$. In most cases, the following results hold, with appropriate changes, for symmetric slice domains more general than open balls $B(0,R)$. For slice monogenic functions the natural definition of derivative is given by the following.

Definition 2.3. Let $f : B(0,R) \to \mathbb{R}_n$ be a slice monogenic function. The *slice derivative* of f at $x = u + vI$ is defined by

$$\partial_I f(u+vI) := \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - I\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) f_I(u+vI).$$

Notice that the operators ∂_I and $\bar{\partial}_I$ commute, and $\partial_I f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}$ for slice monogenic functions. Therefore, the slice derivative of a slice monogenic function is still slice monogenic so that we can iterate the differentiation to obtain the k-th slice derivative

$$\partial_I^k f = \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial u^k}, \quad \forall\ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In what follows, for the sake of simplicity, we will direct denote the k-th slice derivative $\partial_I^k f$ by $f^{(k)}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

As shown in [5], a paravector power series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k a_k$ with $\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_n$ defines a slice monogenic function in its domain of convergence, which proves to be an open ball $B(0,R)$ with R equal to the radius of convergence of the power series. The converse result is also true.

Theorem 2.4 (Taylor Expansion)**.** *A function f is slice monogenic on $B = B(0,R)$ if and only if f has a power series expansion*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k a_k \quad \textit{with} \quad a_k = \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!}.$$

A fundamental result in the theory of slice monogenic functions is described by the splitting lemma, which relates slice monogenicity to classical holomorphy (see [5]).

Lemma 2.5 (Splitting Lemma)**.** *Let f be a slice monogenic function on $B = B(0,R)$. For each $I_1 = I \in \mathbb{S}$, let $I_2, \ldots, I_n$ be a completion to a basis of $\mathbb{R}_n$ satisfying the defining relations $I_iI_j + I_jI_i = -2\delta_{ij}$. Then there exist 2^{n-1} holomorphic functions $F_A : B_I \to \mathbb{C}_I$ such that for every $z = u + vI \in B_I$,*

$$f_I(z) = \sum_{|A|=0}^{n-1} F_A(z) I_A,$$

where $I_A = I_{i_1} \cdots I_{i_r}$, $A = i_1 i_2 \ldots i_r$ is a multi-index such that $2 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_r \le n$ when $r > 0$, or $I_0 = 1$ when $r = 0$.

The following version of the identity principle is one of direct consequences of the preceding lemma (see [5]).

Theorem 2.6 (Identity Principle)**.** *Let f be a slice monogenic function on $B = B(0,R)$. Denote by $\mathcal{Z}_f$ the zero set of f,*

$$\mathcal{Z}_f = \big\{x \in B : f(x) = 0\big\}.$$

If there exists an $I \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $B_I \cap \mathcal{Z}_f$ has an accumulation point in B_I, then f vanishes identically on B.

Another useful result is the following (see [4]).

Theorem 2.7 (Representation Formula)**.** *Let f be a slice monogenic function on a symmetric slice domain $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and let $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Then for all $u + vJ \in U$ with $J \in \mathbb{S}$, the following equality holds*

$$f(u+vJ) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(f(u+vI) + f(u-vI)\Big) + \frac{1}{2}JI\Big(f(u-vI) - f(u+vI)\Big).$$

In particular, for each sphere of the form $u + v\mathbb{S}$ contained in U, there exist b, $c \in \mathbb{R}_n$ such that $f(u+vI) = b + Ic$ for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$.

Thanks to this result, it is possible to recover the values of a slice monogenic function on symmetric slice domains, which are more general than open balls centred at the origin, from its values on a single slice. This yields an extension theorem that in the special case of functions that are slice monogenic on $B(0,R)$ can be obtained by means of their power series expansion.

Remark 2.8. Let f_I be a holomorphic function on a disc $B_I = B(0,R) \cap \mathbb{C}_I$ and let its power series expansion take the form

$$f_I(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k a_k$$

with $\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then the unique slice monogenic extension of f_I to the whole ball $B(0,R)$ is the function defined by

$$f(x) := \mathrm{ext}(f_I)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k a_k.$$

The uniqueness is guaranteed by the identity principle (Theorem 2.6). In Section 3, we will establish the growth and distortion theorems for such a class of slice monogenic functions.

Definition 2.9. Let $f, g : B = B(0,R) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be two slice monogenic functions and let

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k a_k, \qquad g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k b_k$$

be their series expansions. The slice monogenic product ($*$-product) of f and g is the function defined by

$$f * g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k \bigg(\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j b_{k-j} \bigg),$$

which is slice monogenic on B.

We now recall more definitions from [7].

Definition 2.10. Let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k a_k$ be a slice monogenic function on $B = B(0,R)$. We define the *slice monogenic conjugate* of f as

$$f^c(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k \bar{a}_k,$$

and the *symmetrization* of f as

$$f^s(x) = f * f^c(x) = f^c * f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k \bigg(\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j \bar{a}_{k-j} \bigg).$$

Both f^c and f^s are slice monogenic functions on B. We also define

$$f_I * f_I^c(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k \bigg(\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j \bar{a}_{k-j} \bigg), \qquad \forall\, z \in B_I.$$

Now the inverse element of a slice monogenic function f with respect to the $*$-product can be defined under a suitable condition. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{f^s}$ denote the zero set of the symmetrization f^s of f.

Definition 2.11. Let f be a slice monogenic function on $B = B(0,R)$ such that, for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$ its restriction f_I to $\mathbb{B}_I$ satisfies the condition that

$$(f_I * f_I^c)(\mathbb{B}_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I.$$

If f does not vanish identically, its *slice monogenic inverse* is the function defined by

$$f^{-*}(x) := f^s(x)^{-1} f^c(x),$$

which is slice monogenic on $B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{f^s}$.

3. Growth and Distortion Theorems for Slice Monogenic Functions

In this section, we establish the growth and distortion theorems for slice monogenic functions in the setting of Clifford algebra $\mathbb{R}_n$. We begin with a main technical lemma.

To present our main technical lemma more generally, we will digress for a moment to slice monogenic functions on symmetric slice domains.

Lemma 3.1. *Let f be a slice monogenic function on a symmetric slice domain $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then for every $x = u + vJ \in U$ and every $I \in \mathbb{S}$, there holds the identity*

(3.1)

$$\big|f(x)\big|^2 = \frac{1+\langle I,J\rangle}{2}\big|f(y)\big|^2 + \frac{1-\langle I,J\rangle}{2}\big|f(\bar{y})\big|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Big\langle f(y)\overline{f(\bar{y})} - f(\bar{y})\overline{f(y)}, I\wedge J\Big\rangle,$$

where $y = u + vI$ and $\bar{y} = u - vI$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.7 that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\big(f(y) + f(\bar{y})\big) - \frac{1}{2}JI\big(f(y) - f(\bar{y})\big) \tag{3.2}$$

for every $x = u+vJ \in U$. Here, for simplicity, we denote $y = u+vI$ and $\bar{y} = u+vI$ for the given $I \in \mathbb{S}$.

Notice that, in vector notation,

$$\langle I, J\rangle = \mathrm{Sc}(I\bar{J}) = -\frac{1}{2}(IJ + JI), \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$I \wedge J = \frac{1}{2}(IJ - JI). \tag{3.4}$$

We shall use the simple identity that

$$|a+b|^2 = |a|^2 + |b|^2 + 2\langle a, b\rangle \tag{3.5}$$

for any a, $b \in \mathbb{R}_n \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2^n}$.

Taking modulus on both sides of (3.2) and applying (3.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\big|f(x)\big|^2 =& \frac{1}{4}\Big(\big|f(y)+f(\bar{y})\big|^2 + \big|f(y)-f(\bar{y})\big|^2\Big) - \\ & \frac{1}{2}\Big\langle f(y)+f(\bar{y}), JI\big(f(y)-f(\bar{y})\big)\Big\rangle \\ =:& A - \frac{1}{2}B.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Again applying (3.5), it is evident that

$$A = \frac{1}{2}(|f(y)|^2 + |f(\bar{y})|^2). \tag{3.7}$$

To calculate the term B, it first follows from the very definition of inner product (see (2.1)) that

$$B = \Big\langle \big(f(y)+f(\bar{y})\big)\big(\overline{f(y)} - \overline{f(\bar{y})}\big), JI\Big\rangle =: B_1 + B_2, \tag{3.8}$$

where $B_1 = \Big\langle f(y)\overline{f(y)} - f(\bar{y})\overline{f(\bar{y})}, JI\Big\rangle$, and $B_2 = \Big\langle f(\bar{y})\overline{f(y)} - f(y)\overline{f(\bar{y})}, JI\Big\rangle$.

We next claim that

$$B_1 = -\langle I, J\rangle\big(|f(y)|^2 - |f(\bar{y})|^2\big), \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$B_2 = \Big\langle f(y)\overline{f(\bar{y})} - f(\bar{y})\overline{f(y)}, I\wedge J\Big\rangle. \tag{3.10}$$

Indeed, applying the fact that $\langle a, b\rangle = \langle \bar{a}, \bar{b}\rangle$ from (2.1) to B_1 yields that

$$B_1 = \Big\langle f(y)\overline{f(y)} - f(\bar{y})\overline{f(\bar{y})}, IJ\Big\rangle \tag{3.11}$$

Combining this, (3.3) and the initial notion of B_1, we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 =&\frac{1}{2}\Big\langle f(y)\overline{f(y)} - f(\bar{y})\overline{f(\bar{y})}, IJ + JI\Big\rangle \\ =&-\Big\langle f(y)\overline{f(y)} - f(\bar{y})\overline{f(\bar{y})}, \langle I, J\rangle\Big\rangle \\ =&-\langle I, J\rangle\Big\langle f(y)\overline{f(y)} - f(\bar{y})\overline{f(\bar{y})}, 1\Big\rangle \\ =&-\langle I, J\rangle\big(|f(y)|^2 - |f(\bar{y})|^2\big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Similarly,

$$B_2 = \Big\langle \overline{f(\bar{y})\overline{f(y)}} - \overline{f(y)\overline{f(\bar{y})}}, IJ\Big\rangle = \Big\langle f(\bar{y})\overline{f(y)} - f(y)\overline{f(\bar{y})}, -IJ\Big\rangle, \tag{3.13}$$

from which and the initial notion of B_2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 =&\frac{1}{2}\Big\langle f(\bar{y})\overline{f(y)} - f(y)\overline{f(\bar{y})}, JI - IJ\Big\rangle \\ =&-\Big\langle f(\bar{y})\overline{f(y)} - f(y)\overline{f(\bar{y})}, I\wedge J\Big\rangle \\ =&\Big\langle f(y)\overline{f(\bar{y})} - f(\bar{y})\overline{f(y)}, I\wedge J\Big\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

In the second equation we have used (3.4).

Now substituting (3.7)–(3.10) into (3.6) yields that

$$\big|f(x)\big|^2 = \frac{1+\langle I, J\rangle}{2}\big|f(y)\big|^2 + \frac{1-\langle I, J\rangle}{2}\big|f(\bar{y})\big|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Big\langle f(y)\overline{f(\bar{y})} - f(\bar{y})\overline{f(y)}, I\wedge J\Big\rangle,$$

which completes the proof. □

The preceding theorem shows that when f preserves at least one slice, then the squared norm of f can thus be expressed as a convex combination of those in the preserved slice.

Lemma 3.2. *Let f be a slice monogenic function on a symmetric slice domain $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $f(U_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Then the convex combination identity*

$$\big|f(u+vJ)\big|^2 = \frac{1+\langle I, J\rangle}{2}\big|f(u+vI)\big|^2 + \frac{1-\langle I, J\rangle}{2}\big|f(u-vI)\big|^2 \tag{3.15}$$

holds for every $u+vJ \in U$.

Proof. As mentioned before, this lemma is a direct consequence of the preceding lemma. But here, we will give an alternative easier method to prove it under having no idea about Lemma 3.1.

First, we have the following simple fact, which can be easily verified.

Fact: *For any I, $J \in \mathbb{S}$, the set $\big\{1, I, I\wedge J, I(I\wedge J)\big\}$ is an orthogonal set of $\mathbb{R}_n \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2^n}$.*

As in the preceding lemma, it follows from Theorem 2.7 that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\big(f(y) + f(\bar{y})\big) - \frac{1}{2}JI\big(f(y) - f(\bar{y})\big) \tag{3.16}$$

for every $x = u+vJ \in U$ with $y = u+vI$ and $\bar{y} = u+vI$.

Notice that, in vector notation,

$$JI = -\langle I, J\rangle + J\wedge I.$$

Hence, we can rewrite (3.16) as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(\big(1+\langle I,J\rangle\big)f(y)+\big(1-\langle I,J\rangle\big)f(\bar{y})\Big)+\frac{1}{2}J\wedge I\Big(f(\bar{y})-f(y)\Big)\\ &=: \frac{1}{2}A+\frac{1}{2}(J\wedge I)B \end{aligned}$$

By assumption $f(U_I)\subseteq\mathbb{C}_I$, we thus have

$$A\in\mathbb{C}_I,\qquad B\in\mathbb{C}_I.$$

From the fact above and equality (2.2), taking modulus on both sides yields

$$\big|f(x)\big|^2 = \frac{1}{4}|A|^2+\frac{1}{4}\big|J\wedge I\big|^2|B|^2, \tag{3.17}$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$|A|^2=\Big(\big(1+\langle I,J\rangle\big)^2\big|f(y)\big|^2+\big(1-\langle I,J\rangle\big)^2\big|f(\bar{y})\big|^2\Big)+2\big(1-\langle I,J\rangle^2\big)\big\langle f(y),f(\bar{y})\big\rangle \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$|B|^2=\big|f(y)\big|^2+\big|f(\bar{y})\big|^2-2\big\langle f(y),f(\bar{y})\big\rangle. \tag{3.19}$$

Notice that

$$|J\wedge I|^2=1-\langle I,J\rangle^2. \tag{3.20}$$

Now inserting (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20) into (3.17) yields

$$\big|f(x)\big|^2=\frac{1+\langle I,J\rangle}{2}\big|f(y)\big|^2+\frac{1-\langle I,J\rangle}{2}\big|f(\bar{y})\big|^2,$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 3.3. The counterpart of the convex combination identity (3.15) in Lemma 3.2 also hold for slice regular functions defined on Octonions or more general real alternative algebras under the extra assumption that f preserves at least one slice. This can be verified similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 and is left to the interested reader to verify.

As a direct consequence of Lemma 3.2, we conclude that the maximum and minimum moduli of f are actually attained on the preserved slice.

Corollary 3.4. *Let f be a slice monogenic function on a symmetric slice domain $U\subseteq\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $f(U_I)\subseteq\mathbb{C}_I$ for some $I\in\mathbb{S}$. Then for each sphere $u+v\mathbb{S}\subset U$, we have the following equalities:*

$$\max_{J\in\mathbb{S}}\big|f(u+vJ)\big|=\max\Big(\big|f(u+vI)\big|,\big|f(u-vI)\big|\Big),$$

and

$$\min_{J\in\mathbb{S}}\big|f(u+vJ)\big|=\min\Big(\big|f(u+vI)\big|,\big|f(u-vI)\big|\Big).$$

We are now in a position to state the growth and distortion theorems for slice monogenic functions.

Theorem 3.5 (Growth and Distortion Theorems for Paravectors)**.** *Let f be a slice monogenic function on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ such that its restriction f_I to $\mathbb{B}_I$ is injective and $f(\mathbb{B}_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$. If $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{B}$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$\frac{|x|}{(1+|x|)^2} \leq |f(x)| \leq \frac{|x|}{(1-|x|)^2}; \tag{3.21}$$

$$\frac{1-|x|}{(1+|x|)^3} \leq |f'(x)| \leq \frac{1+|x|}{(1-|x|)^3}; \tag{3.22}$$

$$\frac{1-|x|}{1+|x|} \leq \left|xf'(x) * f^{-*}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1+|x|}{1-|x|}. \tag{3.23}$$

Moreover, equality holds for one of these six inequalities at some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if f is of the form

$$f(x) = x(1 - xe^{I\theta})^{-*2}, \quad \forall\ x \in \mathbb{B},$$

for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Notice that $f_I : \mathbb{B}_I \to \mathbb{C}_I$ is a univalent holomorphic function by our assumption. Theorem 1.1 with f_I in place of F can thus be invoked to deduce that

$$\frac{|z|}{(1+|z|)^2} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^2}, \tag{3.24}$$

$$\frac{1-|z|}{(1+|z|)^3} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{(1-|z|)^3}, \tag{3.25}$$

$$\frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} \leq \left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} \tag{3.26}$$

for every $z = u + vI \in \mathbb{B}_I$.

On the other hand,

$$\left|f(x)\right|^2 = \frac{1+\langle I, J\rangle}{2}\left|f(z)\right|^2 + \frac{1-\langle I, J\rangle}{2}\left|f(\bar{z})\right|^2$$

for any $x = u + vJ \in \mathbb{B}$ due to Lemma 3.2.

Since (3.24) holds for all $z = u + vI$ and $\bar{z} = u - vI \in \mathbb{B}_I$, it also holds for any $x = u + vJ \in \mathbb{B}$, due to the convex combination identity above. This proves inequalities in (3.21). Inequalities in (3.25) can be proved in the same manner, since the condition that $f'(\mathbb{B}_I) \subset \mathbb{C}_I$ holds trivially so that lemma 3.2 can be used.

It remains to prove inequalities in (3.23). To this end, we first need to show that the slice monogenic function $xf'(x) * f^{-*}(x)$ is well-defined on the whole ball $\mathbb{B}$. We proceed as follows. First of all, by the injectivity of f_I we can write

$$f_I(z) = zg_I(z),$$

where g is a slice monogenic function on $\mathbb{B}$ such that $g(\mathbb{B}_I) \subset \mathbb{C}_I$ and has no zeros on $\mathbb{B}_I$. Secondly, from the assumption that $f(\mathbb{B}_I) \subset \mathbb{C}_I$, i.e. all the coefficients of the Taylor expansion of f at the origin belong to the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_I$, it follows that

$$f_I^c(z) = \overline{f_I(\bar{z})},$$

and hence

$$(f_I * f_I^c)(z) = f_I(z)\overline{f_I(\bar{z})} = z^2(g_I * g_I^c)(z). \tag{3.27}$$

This implies that $f_I * f_I^c(\mathbb{B}_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I$. Therefore, according to Definition 2.11, f^{-*} can be defined and so does g^{-*}. Finally, from (3.27) a moment's reflection shows that f^s has only one zero 0 of order 2 and g^s is zero free. This means that the trivial relation

$$xf'(x) * f^{-*}(x) = (f' * g^{-*})(x)$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{0\}$ and the right-hand side is well-defined on the whole ball $\mathbb{B}$. Therefore, the right-hand side $xf'(x) * f^{-*}(x)$ can extend regularly to the whole ball $\mathbb{B}$ as desired.

Notice also that $xf'(x) * f^{-*}(x)$ is just the slice monogenic extension to $\mathbb{B}$ of the holomorphic function $zf_I'(z)/f_I(z)$ on the unit disk $\mathbb{B}_I$. Now inequalities in (3.23) immediately follow from (3.26) and

$$\left|xf' * f^{-*}(x)\right|^2 = \frac{1+\langle I,J\rangle}{2}\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right|^2 + \frac{1-\langle I,J\rangle}{2}\left|\frac{\bar{z}f'(\bar{z})}{f(\bar{z})}\right|^2,$$

due to Lemma 3.2.

Furthermore, if equality holds for one of six inequalities in (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23) at some point $x_0 = u_0 + v_0J \neq 0$ with $J \in \mathbb{S}$, then the corresponding equality also holds at $z_0 = u_0 + v_0I$ and $\bar{z}_0 = u_0 - v_0I$. By Theorem 1.1, we obtain that

$$f_I(z) = \frac{z}{(1-e^{I\theta}z)^2}\ , \qquad \forall\ z \in \mathbb{C}_I,$$

for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies

$$f(x) = x(1-xe^{I\theta})^{-*2}, \qquad \forall\ x \in \mathbb{B}.$$

The converse part is obvious. Now the proof is complete. □

Remark 3.6. The right-hand inequalities in (3.21) and (3.22) can follow alternatively from the well-known and highly non-trivial Bieberbach-de Branges theorem.

Let $F : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a normalized univalent holomorphic function on the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ of the complex plane with Taylor expansion

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} z^m a_m, \qquad a_m \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We consider the canonical imbedding $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by expanding the basis $\{1, i\}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ to the basis $\{1, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $e_1 = i$. Therefore we can construct a natural extension of F to the setting of Clifford algebras via

$$f(x) = x + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} x^m a_m, \qquad x \in \mathbb{B}.$$

It is evident that f is a slice monogenic function on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B} = B(0,1)$ such that its restriction $f|_{\mathbb{D}} = F$ is injective and satisfies that $F(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Clearly, $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Thus f satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 3.5 and this proves the Theorem 1.2.

Remark 3.7. The slice monogenic extension of holomorphic functions on the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ of the complex plane can result in the theory of slice monogenic elementary functions. We refer to [7] for the corresponding functional calculus and applications.

The following proposition is of independent interest.

Proposition 3.8. *Let f be a slice monogenic function on a symmetric slice domain $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that its restriction f_I to U_I is injective and $f(U_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Then the restriction $f_J : U_J \to \mathbb{R}_n$ is also injective for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$.*

Proof. Suppose that there are $x = \alpha + \beta J$ and $y = \gamma + \delta J$ such that $f(x) = f(y)$, it suffices to prove that $x = y$. If $J = \pm I$, the result follows from the assumption. Otherwise, from Theorem 2.7 one can deduce that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\big(f(z) + f(\bar{z})\big) - \frac{1}{2}JI\big(f(z) - f(\bar{z})\big)$$

and

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{2}\big(f(w) + f(\bar{w})\big) - \frac{1}{2}JI\big(f(w) - f(\bar{w})\big).$$

Here $z = \alpha + \beta I$ and $\bar{w} = \gamma + \delta I$ for the given $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Therefore,

$$\Big(\big(f(z) + f(\bar{z})\big) - \big(f(w) + f(\bar{w})\big)\Big) - JI\Big(\big(f(z) - f(\bar{z})\big) - \big(f(w) - f(\bar{w})\big)\Big) = 0.$$

Since $f(U_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I$, 1 and J are linearly independent on $\mathbb{C}_I$ we obtain that

$$f(z) + f(\bar{z}) = f(w) + f(\bar{w})$$

and

$$f(z) - f(\bar{z}) = f(w) - f(\bar{w}),$$

which implies that $f(z) = f(w)$. Thus it follows from the injectivity of f_I that $z = w$ and consequently, $x = y$. □

Remark 3.9. Let f be as described in Theorem 3.5. Then $f_J : \mathbb{B}_J \to \mathbb{R}_n$ is injective for any $J \in \mathbb{S}$ by the preceding proposition. Unfortunately, the authors do not know whether $f : U \to \mathbb{R}_n$ is injective.

4. Growth, Distortion and Covering Theorems for Slice Regular Functions

Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the non-commutative, associative, real algebra of quaternions with standard basis $\{1, i, j, k\}$, subject to the multiplication rules

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1.$$

Let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ denote the standard inner product on $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^4$, i.e.,

$$\langle p, q\rangle = Re(p\bar{q}) = \sum_{n=0}^{3} x_n y_n$$

for any $p = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k$, $q = y_0 + y_1 i + y_2 j + y_3 k \in \mathbb{H}$.

In this section, we shall consider the slice regular functions defined on domains in quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ with values in $\mathbb{H}$. These functions are not slice monogenic functions obtained by setting $n = 2$ in the Clifford algebra $\mathbb{R}_n$. Such a class of functions enjoys many nice properties similar to those of classical holomorphic functions of one complex variable. For example, the open mapping theorem holds for slice regular functions on symmetric slice domains in $\mathbb{H}$, but fails for the slice monogenic functions even in the quaternionic setting. A simple counterexample is the imbedding map $\imath : \mathbb{R}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_2 = \mathbb{H}$. The open mapping theorem allows us to prove the Koebe type one-quarter theorem (see Theorem 4.9 below). Furthermore, from the analytical perspective, only for slice regular functions, the regular product and regular

quotient have an intimate connection with the usual pointwise product and quotient. It is exactly this connection which plays a crucial role in many arguments, see the monograph [10] and the recent paper [22] for more details.

To introduce the theory of slice regular functions, we will denote by $\mathbb{S}$ the unit 2-sphere of purely imaginary quaternions, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{S} = \big\{q \in \mathbb{H} : q^2 = -1\big\}.$$

For every $I \in \mathbb{S}$ we will denote by $\mathbb{C}_I$ the plane $\mathbb{R} \oplus I\mathbb{R}$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, and, if $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$, by Ω_I the intersection $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}_I$. Also, we will denote by $\mathbb{B}$ the open unit ball centred at the origin in $\mathbb{H}$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{B} = \big\{q \in \mathbb{H} : |q| < 1\big\}.$$

We can now recall the definition of slice regularity.

Definition 4.1. Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{H}$. A function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}$ is called *slice regular* if, for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$, its restriction f_I to Ω_I is *holomorphic*, i.e., it has continuous partial derivatives and satisfies

$$\bar{\partial}_I f(x + yI) := \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + I\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) f_I(x + yI) = 0$$

for all $x + yI \in \Omega_I$.

The notions of slice domain, of symmetric slice domain and of slice derivative are similar to those already given in Section 2. Moreover, the corresponding results still hold for the slice regular functions in the setting of quaternions, such as the splitting lemma, the representation formula, the power series expansion and so on.

Now we can establish the following results by obvious modifications in the quaternionic setting.

Lemma 4.2. *Let f be a slice regular function on a symmetric slice domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$. Then for every $q = x + yJ \in \Omega$ and every $I \in \mathbb{S}$, there holds the identity*

$$\big|f(q)\big|^2 = \frac{1 + \langle I, J\rangle}{2}\big|f(z)\big|^2 + \frac{1 - \langle I, J\rangle}{2}\big|f(\bar{z})\big|^2 - \Big\langle \mathrm{Im}\big(f(z)\overline{f(\bar{z})}\big), I \wedge J\Big\rangle,$$

where $z = x + yI$ and $\bar{z} = x - yI$.

Before presenting the key lemma to establish the growth and distortion theorems, we first make an equivalent characterization of the vanishing of the third term on the right-hand side of (4.2), thanks to the speciality of quaternions.

Theorem 4.3. *Let f be a slice regular function on a symmetric slice domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ and let $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Then*

$$\Big\langle \mathrm{Im}\big(f(z)\overline{f(\bar{z})}\big), I \wedge J\Big\rangle = 0$$

for each $J \in \mathbb{S}$ and each $z \in \Omega_I$ if and only if there exist $u \in \partial\mathbb{B}$ and a slice regular function g on Ω that preserves the slice Ω_I such that

$$f(q) = g(q)u$$

on Ω.

Proof. Let $f_I(z) = F(z) + G(z)K$ be the splitting of f with $I, K \in \mathbb{S}$ and $I \perp K$. Take $L \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $\{1, I, K, L\}$ is an orthonormal basis of quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ and let

V denote the real vector space generated by the set $\{I \wedge J : J \in \mathbb{S}\}$, then it is clear that

$$V = K\mathbb{R} \oplus L\mathbb{R}. \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, a simple calculation gives

$$f(z)\overline{f(\bar{z})} = \Big(F(z)\overline{F(\bar{z})} + G(z)\overline{G(\bar{z})}\Big) + \Big(F(\bar{z})G(z) - F(z)G(\bar{z})\Big)K,$$

from which and (4.1) it follows that

$$\Big\langle \mathrm{Im}\big(f(z)\overline{f(\bar{z})}\big), I \wedge J \Big\rangle = 0, \qquad \forall\, J \in \mathbb{S},$$

if and only if

$$F(z)G(\bar{z}) = F(\bar{z})G(z), \qquad \forall\, z \in \Omega_I. \tag{4.2}$$

If $G \equiv 0$ on Ω_I, there is nothing to prove and the result follows. Otherwise, $G \not\equiv 0$, let $\mathcal{Z}_G$ denote the zero set of G, then by the identity principle $\mathcal{Z}_G$ has no accumulation points in Ω_I and so does $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_G := \{\bar{z} \in \Omega_I : z \in \mathcal{Z}_G\}$. Therefore by (4.2),

$$\frac{F(z)}{G(z)} = \frac{F(\bar{z})}{G(\bar{z})}$$

is both holomorphic and anti-holomorphic on $\Omega_I \setminus \big(\mathcal{Z}_G \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_G\big)$, which is still a domain of $\mathbb{C}_I$, thus there exist a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_I$ such that

$$\frac{F(z)}{G(z)} = \frac{F(\bar{z})}{G(\bar{z})} = \lambda,$$

which implies that $F = \lambda G$ on $\Omega_I \setminus \big(\mathcal{Z}_G \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_G\big)$ and hence on Ω_I by the identity principle.

Now let g be the slice regular extension of G, i.e. $g = \mathrm{ext}(G)$, and set

$$u = (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda + K) \in \partial\mathbb{B}.$$

Then g is a regular function on Ω such that $g(\Omega_I) \subset \mathbb{C}_I$ and $f = gu$, which completes the proof. □

The precious theorem shows that when f preserves one slice up to a suitable rotation from the group

$$\mathbb{S}^3 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : u \in \partial\mathbb{B} \right\} \leq Sp(1,1),$$

i.e. $f(\Omega_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I u$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and some $u \in \partial\mathbb{B}$, the squared norm of f can thus be expressed as a convex combination of those in the preserved slice.

Lemma 4.4. *Let f be a slice regular function on a symmetric slice domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ such that $f(\Omega_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I u$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and some $u \in \partial\mathbb{B}$. Then*

$$\big|f(x+yJ)\big|^2 = \frac{1 + \langle I, J\rangle}{2}\big|f(x+yI)\big|^2 + \frac{1 - \langle I, J\rangle}{2}\big|f(x-yI)\big|^2 \tag{4.3}$$

holds for every $x + yJ \in \Omega$.

In particular, each element f from the slice regular automorphism group of the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ of $\mathbb{H}$

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{B}) = \big\{ f(q) = (1 - q\bar{a})^{-*} * (q - a)u : a \in \mathbb{B},\, u \in \partial\mathbb{B} \big\}$$

satisfies the condition in Lemma 4.4.

As a direct consequence of Lemma 4.4, we conclude that the maximum and minimum moduli of f are actually attained on the preserved slice.

Corollary 4.5. *Let f be a slice regular function on a symmetric slice domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ such that $f(\Omega_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Then for each sphere $x + y\mathbb{S} \subset \Omega$, the following equalities hold:*

$$\max_{J\in\mathbb{S}} \big|f(x + yJ)\big| = \max\Big(\big|f(x + yI)\big|, \big|f(x - yI)\big|\Big), \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\min_{J\in\mathbb{S}} \big|f(x + yJ)\big| = \min\Big(\big|f(x + yI)\big|, \big|f(x - yI)\big|\Big). \tag{4.5}$$

Remark 4.6. Corollary 4.5 was first proved in [21, Proposition 1.13]. Together with the classical growth and distortion theorems, Corollary 4.5 is sufficient to prove Theorem 4.7 below even without Lemma 4.4. Despite this trivial fact, Lemma 4.4 is of independent interest and has its intrinsic value. It presents an explicit formula for the squared norm of slice regular functions preserving at least one slice, i.e. the new convex combination identity (4.3), which is also useful for other purposes. For instance, it provides an effective approach to a quaternionic version of a well-known Forelli-Rudin estimate, which will play a fundamental role in the theory of various spaces of slice regular functions [20].

Now we come to state the growth and distortion theorems for slice regular functions.

Theorem 4.7 (Growth and Distortion Theorems for Quaternions)**.** *Let f be a slice regular function on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B} = B(0, 1)$ such that its restriction f_I to $\mathbb{B}_I$ is injective and $f(\mathbb{B}_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$. If $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$, then for all $q \in \mathbb{B}$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$\frac{|q|}{(1 + |q|)^2} \le |f(q)| \le \frac{|q|}{(1 - |q|)^2}; \tag{4.6}$$

$$\frac{1 - |q|}{(1 + |q|)^3} \le |f'(q)| \le \frac{1 + |q|}{(1 - |q|)^3}; \tag{4.7}$$

$$\frac{1 - |q|}{1 + |q|} \le \big|qf'(q) * f^{-*}(q)\big| \le \frac{1 + |q|}{1 - |q|}. \tag{4.8}$$

Moreover, equality holds for one of these six inequalities at some point $q_0 \in \mathbb{B}\backslash\{0\}$ if and only if f is of the form

$$f(q) = q(1 - qe^{I\theta})^{-*2}, \quad \forall\ q \in \mathbb{B},$$

for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $F : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a normalized univalent holomorphic function on the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ of the complex plane with Taylor expansion

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n a_n, \qquad a_n \in \mathbb{C}.$$

As in Section 3, with a canonical imbedding $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H}$, we can construct a natural slice regular extension of F to quaternions via

$$f(q) = q + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} q^n a_n, \qquad q \in \mathbb{B}.$$

It is evident that f is a slice regular function on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B} = B(0,1)$ such that its restriction $f|_{\mathbb{D}} = F$ is injective and satisfies that $F(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Clearly, $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Thus f satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 4.7 and this results in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $F : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a normalized univalent holomorphic function on the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$, and let $f : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{H}$ be the slice regular extension of F. Then for all $q \in \mathbb{B}$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$\frac{|q|}{(1+|q|)^2} \leq |f(q)| \leq \frac{|q|}{(1-|q|)^2};$$

$$\frac{1-|q|}{(1+|q|)^3} \leq |f'(q)| \leq \frac{1+|q|}{(1-|q|)^3};$$

$$\frac{1-|q|}{1+|q|} \leq \left|qf'(q) * f^{-*}(q)\right| \leq \frac{1+|q|}{1-|q|}.$$

Moreover, equality holds for one of these six inequalities at some point $q_0 \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if

$$f(q) = q(1 - qe^{i\theta})^{-*2}, \qquad \forall\ q \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Recall that for a slice regular function on a symmetric slice domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}$, the *degenerate set* of f is defined to be the union D_f of the 2-dimensional spheres $S = x + y\mathbb{S}$ (with $y \neq 0$) such that $f|_S$ is constant. Now as a direct consequence of the open mapping theorem and the first inequality in (4.6), we have the following result.

Theorem 4.9 (Koebe One-Quarter Theorem)**.** *Let f be a slice regular function on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ such that its restriction f_I to $\mathbb{B}_I$ is injective and $f(\mathbb{B}_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$. If $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$, then it holds that*

$$B(0, \frac{1}{4}) \subset f(\mathbb{B}).$$

Proof. By assumption, the degenerate set D_f of f is empty. Then f is open by the open mapping theorem (see [10, Theorem 7.7]). This together with the first inequality in (4.6) shows that the image set $f(\mathbb{B})$, containing the origin 0, is an open subset of $\mathbb{H}$, whose boundary $\partial f(\mathbb{B})$ lies outside of the ball $B(0, 1/4)$. In other words, $f(\mathbb{B})$ must contain $B(0, 1/4)$. This completes the proof. □

Let $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{B})$ denote the set of slice regular functions on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{H}$. We define

$$\mathcal{S} := \big\{ f \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{B}) : \exists\ I \in \mathbb{S} \text{ such that } f_I \text{ is injective and } f_I(\mathbb{B}_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I \big\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_0 := \big\{ f \in \mathcal{S} : f(0) = 0,\ f'(0) = 1 \big\}.$$

For each $f \in \mathcal{S}_0$, we use $r_0(f)$ to denote the radius of the smallest ball $B(0, r)$ contained in $f(\mathbb{B})$. Also for every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $I \in \mathbb{S}$, denote by $k_{I,\theta}$ the slice regular function given by

$$k_{I,\theta}(q) = q(1 - qe^{I\theta})^{-*2}, \quad \forall\ q \in \mathbb{B},$$

which belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_0$. The image set of the unit disc $\mathbb{B}_I$ under $k_{I,\theta}$ is exactly the complex plane except for a radial slit from ∞ to $-e^{I\theta}/4$. This fact together with Theorem 4.9 gives the following result.

Theorem 4.10. *With notations as above, the following statements hold:*

(i) *for each $f \in \mathcal{S}_0$,*

$$r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}$$

with equality if and only if $f = k_{I,\theta}$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$;

(ii)

$$\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{S}_0} f(\mathbb{B}) = B(0, \frac{1}{4}).$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the extremal case, since the remaining is clear. If $r_0(f) = 1/4$, from the proof of Theorem 4.9 and Inequality (4.5) with minimum replaced by infimum, we conclude that there exists some $I_0 \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $1/4$ is exactly the radius of the smallest disc $\mathbb{B}_{I_0}(0, r)$ contained in the image set $f_{I_0}(\mathbb{B}_{I_0})$ of the unit disk $\mathbb{B}_{I_0}$ under the classical univalent holomorphic function $f_{I_0} : \mathbb{B}_{I_0} \to \mathbb{C}_{I_0}$. This is possible only if $f = k_{I_0,\theta}$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ (see the proof of [16, Theorem 1.1.5] or [8, Theorem 2.3]). Now the proof is complete. □

The following proposition is the quaternionic version of Proposition 3.8 for slice regular functions.

Proposition 4.11. *Let f be a slice regular function on a symmetric slice domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ such that its restriction f_I to Ω_I is injective and $f(\Omega_I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_I$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Then its restriction $f_J : \Omega_J \to \mathbb{H}$ is also injective for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$.*

Remark 4.12. Let f be as described in Theorem 4.7. Then according to the preceding proposition, $f_J : \mathbb{B}_J \to \mathbb{H}$ is injective for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$. It is well worth knowing whether $f : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{H}$ is injective. If it is indeed the case, together with the first inequality in (4.6), it would provide an alternative approach to Theorem 4.9.

5. Concluding remarks

As pointed out in Remark 3.3, the counterpart of the convex combination identity (3.15) in Lemma 3.2 also hold for slice regular functions defined on Octonions or more general real alternative algebras under the extra assumption that f preserves at least one slice. Therefore some of the results given in the preceding sections can be easily generalized by slight modification to these new settings. Finally, we

conclude with a question connected with the paper's subject which we could not answer.

Open question : A natural question arises of whether this class $\mathcal{S}_0$ defined as before is the largest subclass of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{B})$ in which the corresponding growth and distortion theorems hold.

References

1. D. Alpay, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, *Slice Hyperholomorphic Schur Analysis*, preprint 2015, available at: `https://www.mate.polimi.it/biblioteca/add/quaderni/qdd209.pdf`
2. R.W. Barnard, C.H. FitzGerald, S. Gong, *The growth and* $1/4$*-theorems for starlike mappings in* $\mathbb{C}^n$. Pacific J. Math. **150** (1991), 13–22.
3. H. Cartan, *Sur la possibilité d'étendre aux fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes la théorie des fonctions univalents,* in: P. Montel (Ed.), Leçons sur les Fonctions Univalents ou Mutivalents, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1933, pp. 129–155.
4. F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, *A structure formula for slice monogenic functions and some of its consequences*, in Hypercomplex Analysis, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhauser, Basel, 2009, pp. 101–114.
5. F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, *Slice monogenic functions*. Israel J. Math. **171** (2009), 385–403.
6. F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, *An extension theorem for slice monogenic functions and some of its consequences*. Israel J. Math., **177** (2010), 369–389.
7. F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, *Noncommutative functional calculus. Theory and applications of slice hyperholomorphic functions*. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 289. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2011.
8. P.L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 259, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
9. G. Gentili, S. Salamon, C. Stoppato, *Twistor transforms of quaternionic functions and orthogonal complex structures*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. **16** (2014), 2323–2353.
10. G. Gentili, C. Stoppato, D.C. Struppa, *Regular functions of a quaternionic variable*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2013.
11. G. Gentili, D.C. Struppa, *A new approach to Cullen-regular functions of a quaternionic variable*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **342** (2006), 741–744.
12. G. Gentili, D.C. Struppa, *A new theory of regular functions of a quaternionic variable*. Adv. Math., **216** (2007), 279–301.
13. G. Gentili, D.C. Struppa, *Regular functions on the space of Cayley numbers*. Rocky Mt. J. Math. **40** (2010), 225–241.
14. R. Ghiloni, A. Perotti, *Slice regular functions on real alternative algebras*. Adv. Math. 226 (2011), 1662-1691.
15. S. Gong, *Convex and starlike mappings in several complex variables*. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.
16. I. Graham, G. Kohr, *Geometric function theory in one and higher dimensions*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 255. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2003.
17. K. Gürlebeck, K. Habetha, W. Sprößig, *Holomorphic functions in the plane and ndimensional space*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2008.
18. T. Liu, G. Ren, *Growth theorem of convex mappings on bounded convex circular domains*. Sci. China Ser. A **41** (1998), 123–130.
19. T. Liu, G. Ren, *The growth theorem for starlike mappings on bounded starlike circular domains*. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **19** (1998), 401–408.
20. G. Ren, Z. Xu, *Slice Lebesgue measure of quaternions*. Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr., in press, 2015.
21. G. Sarfatti, *Elements of function theory in the unit ball of quaternions*. Ph. D. Thesis, Università di Firenze, 2013.
22. X. Wang, G. Ren, *Julia Theory for slice regular functions*. Trans. Amer. Math Soc., in press, 2015.

Guangbin Ren, Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
E-mail address: rengb@ustc.edu.cn

Xieping Wang, Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
E-mail address: pwx@mail.ustc.edu.cn